

**LITCHFIELD**  
**CAVO** LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

**WRITER'S ADDRESS:**  
420 Lexington Avenue  
Suite 2104  
New York, NY 10170  
D 212-818-0311  
F 212-434-0105

Joseph E. Boury  
Email: Boury@LitchfieldCavo.com

July 11, 2019

**BY ECF ONLY**

U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey  
Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse  
50 Walnut Street  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Attn: Honorable Susan D. Wigenton, U.S.D.J.

Re: Schiff Food Products Co., Inc. v. Turer Bitkisel Uretim Hayvancilik et al.  
Case Number : 2:18-CV-09017-SDW-CLW  
Our file No. : 2282-1153

Dear Judge Wigenton:

This firm represents the Plaintiff, Schiff Food Products Co., Inc. ("Schiff"), in this action.

At Schiff's request, the Clerk entered a default against the Defendants on March 29, 2019 for having failed to properly answer or otherwise defend. Thereafter, Schiff moved for a Default Judgment against the Defendants. Schiff's motion was made returnable on July 1, 2019.

On June 28, 2019, on the eve of the return date for Schiff's motion, Louis Maione, Esq., wrote to Your Honor, advising that he and Petek Gunay, Esq., had been retained by Defendant Turer Bitkisel Uretim Hayvancilik, Insaat, Su Urunleri, Orman Urunleri Tarim Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret Anonim Sirketi d/b/a Turer Bitkisel, A.S. Tepecik Mah ("Turer") and requested a three-week extension of time, through July 22, 2019, to submit opposition to Schiff's Motion for Default Judgment. This request was granted by the Court. However, it is unclear whether the July 22 date was also intended to be the new return date or motion day for the submission of Schiff's motion.

On July 1, 2019, the other defendant in this action, Celsan Ithalat Ihracat ve Ticaret Limited Sirketi ("Celsan"), appeared through counsel, Erol Gulistan, Esq., who filed a Cross-Motion to Vacate the Default entered against Celsan on March 29, 2019. This cross-motion was not entered in the ECF docket until July 3, 2019, at which time Schiff received its first notification of the cross-motion. Celsan's Cross-Motion did not indicate if it was intended to be returnable on July 22 or reflect any other return date. However, Celsan submitted a combined brief in support of its cross-motion and in

**LITCHFIELD**

Attorneys at Law **CAVO LLP**

Hon. Susan D. Wigenton, USDJ  
July 11, 2019  
Page 2

opposition to Schiff's Motion for Default Judgment. It is unclear if Turer intends to join in Celsan's motion or file its own, similar, cross-motion.

Schiff wishes to file a combined reply brief in support of its original Motion for Default Judgment and in opposition to Celsan's cross-motion and any similar cross-motion by Turer, pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1(h). Schiff assumes that any combined brief in response to Celsan's cross-motion would ordinarily be due seven days prior to any new return date for Schiff's original motion. However, given that Schiff presumably will not be served with Turer's opposition until on or close to July 22, and in the interests of judicial economy and efficiency, Schiff respectfully requests that it be granted an extension of time, to and including August 19, 2019 (which it believes is a scheduled motion day), to submit a combined reply brief in further support of its Motion for Default Judgment and in opposition to the Celsan cross-motion and any similar cross-motion that may be made by Turer.

Respectfully Yours,

LITCHFIELD CAVO LLP

  
JOSEPH E. BOURY

JEB:nd

cc:

VIA ECF AND U.S. MAIL

Law Offices of Louis J. Maione  
303 East 57<sup>th</sup> Street, 30<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Attn: Louis J. Maione, Esq.

Gunay Law, P.C.  
845 Third Avenue  
6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
Attn: Petek Gunay, Esq.

Erol Gulistan Law Firm LLC  
600 Valley Road, Suite LLR1  
Wayne, NJ 07470  
Attn: Erol Gulistan, Esq.